

REF.	DISTANCE	DESCRIPTION "
1.	25.11	Road nail E PwP no# 4"up
2.	74.16	PK nail S PwP#175-243 18"up
3.	114.50	Rebar in brick
4.	39.86'	Railroad spike E hackberry 12"up
5.	30.34	Rebar in brick
6.	19.10'	Cut 'x' 'c' concrete headwall
7.	25.02	'c' of benchmark:

CORNER HISTORY: Original survey by Wm. B. Laughlin, Deputy Surveyor in 1821 a post set with these witnesses: 20 inch beech bearing South 58 degrees East, 4 links distant, a 10 inch beech bearing South 45 degrees West, 15 links distant (p. 4 Marion County Atlas). A Legal Survey of April 2-3 & 5, 1877 by H. B. Fatout Marion County Surveyor does not call out any type of monument at this location, but reports that the corner falls in the roots of a beech tree-the Government Witness (p.79, Washington Township Legal Survey Book), Further survey reports by Darrel C. Walton and P. I. Cripe are as follows:

Darrel C. Walton Marion County Surveyor September 12, 1949

"In the approximate center of 79th Street, fifteen west of an existing fence that runs north in and along the line in dispute, a stone protruded approximately one and one half inches above the gravel roadway. The stone was easily removed, having been imbedded only two inches in the gravel roadway. Said stone was approximately eight inches in length, four inches wide, and three inches thick. Working with the theory that said stone might have been placed over the orginal stone, we dug deeper hitting soil approximately one foot below the top of the gravel roadway. Digging a foot deeper and within a radius of three feet from which said stone was removed, we found no other marker.

Digging in the approximate center of Seventy-ninth Street and in line with said existing fence running north in and along said line in dispute, we uncovered a stone with a cross cut on its crown directly on line with said fence, solidly imbedded in the earth.

In checking the widths of the tracts in the S. E. 4 of said Section 20-17-4, they were found to check precisely with said stone."

(p. 151 Washington Township Legal Survey Book)

P. I. Cripe-Private survey firm in Indianapolis August 13, 1969 Job No. 2-4648

"The deed descriptions of the several tracts in the North 217 feet of the East Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 29 and the part of the Northwest Quarter of Section 28 between the West line and the center of Allisonville Road, were drawn from a certain unrecorded plat known as "INWOOD PARK". An analysis of this plat and our measurements of occupation throughout this area reveals that the plat was prepared using fence lines or occupation lines in determining the boundaries of said plat, which plat boundary lines were not on the record title lines.

The stone at the Northeast corner of the Northeast Quarter of Section 29, shown on the above plat, is the stone uncovered and determined to be the correct corner according to a Legal Survey dated September 2, 1949, made by the Marion County Surveyor. This Legal Survey reveals that a small stone was found approximately 15 feet west, which small stone could have been the marker used by the preparer of "INWOOD PARK". Analysis of our measurments, Legal Surveys and the Government plat indicated that a different location for said corner, other than where it is now, would be very (recorded as a part of Instrument No 69-450/4 the the Ossil and Section 19.

(recorded as a part of Instrument No.69-45044 in the Office of the Marion County Recorder)

Section Corner Record ties of July 29, 1969 by McLand Deputy Surveyor fail to identify the type of monument. County ties by Wilcoxen March 25, 1976 and November 13, 1989 call for a railroad spike as the corner. On June 27, 1990 the corner was excavated and a railroad spike was recovered at the surface, and a small stone with no markings was found 9 inches down. This stone measured 11"x9"x6". The stone was removed and further probing did not locate any other monuments. A #205 cast from Harrison Monument was set in the location of the stone removed. At this time an attempt was made to locate a stone 15 feet west of the monument set. A number of small stones were found, but none were believed to be the stone-mentioned in Walton's report of 1949.